

IMPACT	High	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>closely monitor</u></p> <p>3. Insufficient capacity to deliver Moors for the Future Partnership programme</p> <p>7. Failure to design the organisation at all levels so it has the skills and capability to deliver</p> <p>10. Failure to influence the transposing of EU laws and legislation for landscape and the environment into UK law after Article 50</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>manage and monitor</u></p> <p>1. Failure to create a common understanding for the White Peak, including engaging with the farming community and land managers</p> <p>6. Failure to implement the integrated strategic commercial plan</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>significant focus and attention</u></p> <p>4. Area of NP land safeguarded in agri-environment schemes reduces because of Brexit uncertainty and continuing issues with Countryside Stewardship</p>
	Medium	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>accept but monitor</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>management effort worthwhile</u></p> <p>2. Adverse exchange rate movements for Moorlife 2020 European funding</p> <p>8. Failure to support staff going through a time of change</p> <p>9. Failure to deliver an integrated conservation service for land managers and communities which increases awareness, understanding and support for the National Park's special qualities and the public goods delivered by the place</p> <p>11. Failure to deliver against our Performance and Business Plan in a time of change</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>manage and monitor</u></p> <p>5. Failure to inspire people to give to the Peak District National Park Authority</p>
	Low	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>accept risks</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>accept but review periodically</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>accept but monitor</u></p>
	Low		Medium	High

LIKELIHOOD

Our 2017/18 Corporate Risk Register has been developed through an assessment of the risks to achieving Year 2 of our 2016-2019 Corporate Strategy. This included reviewing:

- 2016/17 corporate risks remaining at amber or red at the 2016/17 year end
- Risks to be escalated from service risk registers
- Our external environment.

In developing our Corporate Risk Register, we have used a 9 grid tool based on likelihood and impact of the risk which not only gives a Green / Amber / Red classification but helps us prioritise action to mitigate that risk, depending on where the risk sits on the grid. It is a 'live' tool that is changed if new risks arise or risks are managed down over the year and re-assessed quarterly.